UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/596,675	06/21/2006	Bandi Parthasaradhi Reddy	H1089/20035	9298	
	7590 09/14/201 ISE, BERNSTEIN,	1	EXAMINER		
COHEN & POKOTILOW, LTD.			SACKEY, EBENEZER O		
11TH FLOOR, SEVEN PENN CENTER 1635 MARKET STREET		EK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPH	IA, PA 19103-2212		1624		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/14/2011	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@crbcp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/596,675	PARTHASARAD ET AL.	HI REDDY			
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	EBENEZER O. SACKEY	1624				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>EBENEZER O. SACKEY</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>JOSEPH F. MURPHY</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 30 August 2011.						
Type:  Telephonic  Video Conference  Personal [copy given to:  applicant [	applicant's representative]		110			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	⊠ No.					
Issues Discussed 101 \( \square\) 112 112 \( \square\) 103 \( \square\) Others (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>all pending claims</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: /.						
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc)						
Applicant's attorney, Joseph Murphy, confirmed in a telephonic conversation on 08/30/11 that a response to the office action mailed on 07/09/10 had not been filed.						
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to p	rovide a separate record of the substa	ance of interview.				
<b>Examiner recordation instructions</b> : Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEF 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.						
Attachment						
/EBENEZER O SACKEY/ Examiner, Art Unit 1624	/J. O. W./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 1624	-			